MNRAS **000**, 1–6 (2016) Preprint 22 April 2016 Compiled using MNRAS LATEX style file v3.0

Rotation Measure synthesis study and polarized properties of PSR J1745−2900 at 7 mm

E. V. Kravchenko[1,2⋆], W.D. Cotton[3], F. Yusef-Zadeh[4] and Y. Y. Kovalev[1]
[1] *Lebedev Physical Institute, Astro Space Center, Profsoyuznaya 84/32, Moscow 117997, Russia*
[2] *Summer student at the National Radio Astronomy Observatory*
[3] *National Radio Astronomy Observatory, 520 Edgemont Rd., Charlottesville, VA, 22903, USA*
[4] *CIERA, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA*

arXiv:1602.04596v2 [astro-ph.GA] 21 Apr 2016

Accepted 2016 February 05. Received 2016 February 03; in original form 2015 September 03

ABSTRACT

We present results of interferometric polarization observations of the recently discovered magnetar J1745−2900 in the vicinity of the Galactic center. The observations were made with the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA) on 21 February 2014 in the range 40–48 GHz. The full polarization mode and A configuration of the array were used. The average total and linearly polarized flux density of the pulsar amounts to 2.3 ± 0.31 mJy beam^{-1} and 1.5 ± 0.2 mJy beam^{-1}, respectively. Analysis shows a rotation measure (RM) of $(-67 \pm 3) \times 10^3$ rad m^{-2}, which is in a good agreement with previous measurements at longer wavelengths. These high frequency observations are sensitive to RM values of up to $\sim 2 \times 10^7$ rad m^{-2}. However, application of the Faraday RM synthesis technique did not reveal other significant RM components in the pulsar emission. This supports an external nature of a single thin Faraday-rotating screen which should be located close to the Galactic center. The Faraday corrected intrinsic electric vector position angle is 16±9 deg East of North, and coincides with the position angle of the pulsar's transverse velocity. All measurements of the pulsar's RM value to date, including the one presented here, well agree within errors, which points towards a steady nature of the Faraday-rotating medium.

Key words: polarization — techniques: interferometric — Galaxy: center — stars: magnetars — pulsars: individual: PSR J1745−2900

1 INTRODUCTION

Recently pulsar J1745−2900 was discovered by the *Swift* satellite as an X-ray flare (Kennea et al. 2013), coming from the direction of the source Sagittarius A* (Sgr A*). It was confirmed as a pulsar by the NuSTAR (Mori et al. 2013) and *Chandra* (Rea et al. 2013) satellites. The X-ray flaring activity and spectral properties, pulsation behavior and spin down rate measurements (Gotthelf et al. 2013) imply PSR J1745−2900's magnetar nature (Mori et al. 2013), with a dipole magnetic field of order 10^{14} G. Subsequent to the X-ray outburst, PSR J1745−2900 was detected at radio wavelengths with many ground–based radio telescopes (Buttu et al. 2013; Eatough et al. 2013b; Lee et al. 2013; Shannon & Johnston 2013)). *Chandra* observations have shown (Rea et al. 2013) that the pulsar is located about ~ 3 arcseconds away from Sgr A*, this is about 0.1 pc in projection (with the distance to the Sgr A* of 8.5 kpc). Recently Bower et al. (2015) have shown that PSR J1745−2900 may be bound to Sgr A* by measuring its proper motion relative to the Galactic center (GC). Considering the NE2001 density model of the Galaxy (Cordes & Lazio 2002), the location of the magnetar should be less than 10 pc from the GC.

Among all known pulsars PSR J1745−2900 has the largest dispersion measure, DM = 1778 ± 3 pc cm^{-3}, and rotation measure, RM = −(66960 ± 50) rad m^{-2} (Eatough et al. 2013a). Sgr A* itself has the largest observed rotation measure in the Galaxy (Bower et al. 2003; Marrone et al. 2006; Macquart et al. 2006), RM = $-(5 \pm 1) \times 10^5$ rad m^{-2}, which could be produced in the warm magnetized plasma, accreting onto the central supermassive black hole (Reid & Brunthaler 2004; Ghez et al. 2008; Gillessen et al. 2009).

So far only PSR J1745−2900 and the Galactic center show such extremely large rotation measures in the Galaxy. Other high values are seen in non-thermal filaments 0.°5 away from the GC (e.g., G359.54+0.18, Yusef-Zadeh et al.

⋆ Contact e-mail: evgenia.v.kravchenko@gmail.com

© 2016 The Authors



Figure 1. The image of the region around Sgr A*with PSR J1745−2900, integrated over the 40–48 GHz band. The image center is located at $\alpha = 17^h45^m40^s.038$, $\delta = -29°00'28.069''$ (J2000). The contours of total intensity are 0.139 mJy beam^{-1}×(−1, 1, 2, 4, ..., 8192). The peak flux density of the image is of 1248.49±0.14 mJy. The half power width of the synthesized beam is 73×46 milliarcseconds, the position angle is 2.°43, and is shown at lower left corner of the image.

1997) with maximum RM = −4200 rad m^{-2}. Since RM originates in a magnetized plasma, the most probable origin of this rotation is a thin screen with large magnetic field, located close to the GC. A few pulsars also show comparable RMs; two of the highest are PSR J1841−0500 with (−2990 ± 50) rad m^{-2} (Camilo et al. 2012) and PSR J1410−6132 with (2400±30) rad m^{-2} (O'Brien et al. 2008). A galactic survey of neutral hydrogen at 21 cm reveals sources located in the Galactic plane with RMs of order 10^3 rad m^{-2} (Brown et al. 2007).

Thus, the nature and location of the Faraday-rotating (also referred here to as 'Faraday screen') and scattering screens for Sgr A* and PSR J1745−2900 are not ultimately known. Bower et al. (2014) and Spitler et al. (2014) locate the thin scattering screen at 5.8 ± 0.3 kpc from the GC on the basis of a joint analysis of an angular broadening and temporal scattering data obtained from the pulsar. This was also later confirmed by Wucknitz (2014). At the same time, Pushkarev & Kovalev (2015) point to problems with the scenario of a scattering screen located so far away from the GC. Bower et al. (2003); Shannon & Johnston (2013) explain the large Sgr A* RM as being due to a dense halo around, but not associated with the source itself. Marrone et al. (2006) attribute the Faraday rotation to the material very close to Sgr A* (within ∼ 0.04 pc). Macquart et al. (2006) show that the Sgr A* screen is external to the emission region, but also put the Faraday-rotating medium in close proximity to the GC. Eatough et al. (2013a) place the pulsar Faraday screen within a parsec from Sgr A*. The above mentioned works agree that the magnetic field in the Faraday screen should be high, with the value of tens of microgauss to a few milligauss (e.g., Crocker et al. (2010); Noutsos (2012)). For the observed range of spectral indices, $\alpha \sim 0.2 - 0.3$, based on radio continuum observations of the inner few hundred pc of the Galactic center, the equipartition magnetic field is estimated to be $\sim 20\mu$G (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2013).

Yusef-Zadeh et al. (2015) note fluctuations in the flux density of the magnetar and suggest, that they come from interactions of the shock originated by the X-ray pulsar outburst, colliding with the orbiting GC ionized gas. Meanwhile the ionized gas acts as a Faraday-rotating screen, resulting in PSR J1745−2900's RM.

Eatough et al. (2013a); Shannon & Johnston (2013) have studied the polarization properties of the pulsar including Faraday rotation. Eatough et al. (2013a) have measured the pulsar's RM at 2.5-8.7 GHz with the VLA, Effelsberg and Nançay radio telescopes to be −(66960 ± 50) rad m^{-2}. At the same time, Shannon & Johnston (2013) presented results from ATCA observations at 16-18 GHz of −(67000 ± 500) rad m^{-2}. The pulsar has been observed at 42–44 GHz (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2014; Bower et al. 2015; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2015) and at 2.5–225 GHz (Torne et al. 2015), but the authors did not discuss polarization. Here we

report first results of a polarization study in the 40-48 GHz band of PSR J1745−2900 at the VLA.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA PROCESSING

Observations were made with the Very Large Array of the National Radio Astronomy Observatory on 21 February 2014. The A configuration of the array was used, with the longest baseline reaching 36.4 km. The field of view of the resulting image covered about 15×15 arcsec centered on the Galactic center. Observations were done during 5 hours at frequencies from 40 to 48 GHz in full polarization mode. Since observations were primarily targeted towards Sgr A*, data reduction was done following the calibration procedure for sources with a continuum spectrum. Data processing and imaging were performed within the Obit package[1] (Cotton 2008). The 8192 MHz band was divided in to 4096 frequency channels with the spectral resolution of 2 MHz. The calibrated data were averaged over the whole 5 hr interval, 4096 frequency channels were imaged independently, with the phase and amplitude self-calibration application to the data. The resulting resolution was 73 × 46 milliarcseconds, with the position angle of the synthesized beam of 2.°4. The amplitude calibration accuracy approaches 5 per cent.

Instrumental polarization calibration was done using 3C286, J1733−1304 and J1744−3116 as calibrators, meanwhile absolute electric vector position angle (EVPA) calibration was done using 3C286 only. The accuracy of the instrumental polarization was of order one percent and that of the EVPA 2.°3; the absolute EVPA calibration errors is estimated at 4.°1. The final calibration error of absolute vector position angle comprises errors from the instrumental and absolute calibrations and is estimated to be 4.°7.

Due to the low flux density level of the pulsar and since the pulsar observations were ungated, we did not detect significant polarized signal in individual channels. To increase the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N), we used different averaging: (i) for the EVPA fitting about 104 MHz spectral resolution is used, resulted from averaging 52 spectral channels, and (ii) during the RM synthesis 34 MHz resolution is used, resulted from averaging 17 spectral channels.

3 MEASUREMENTS OF THE FARADAY ROTATION

For the analysis of rotation measure we used two methods: linear fitting of the EVPA – λ^2 dependence and RM synthesis.

3.1 EVPA – λ^2 analysis

The rotation measure is defined as the slope of polarization angle, ψ, versus wavelength squared, λ^2:

$$\mathrm{RM} = \frac{d\psi(\lambda^2)}{d\lambda^2}. \quad (1)$$

[1] http://www.cv.nrao.edu/~bcotton/Obit.html



Figure 2. Linear polarization at the position of PSR J1745−2900 as a function of the observing frequency ν. One σ error bars are plotted, derived from noise fluctuations in the Q and U images, residual instrumental polarization and absolute flux calibration errors.



Figure 3. Electric vector position angle versus wavelength squared for PSR J1745−2900. One σ error bars include errors from residual instrumental polarization, absolute EVPA calibration and noise fluctuations in the Q and U images.

In the simplest Faraday-thin case, with a foreground rotating screen which is external to the emitting region, the polarization angle is linear with λ^2 and the RM is defined as a constant coefficient:

$$\psi = \psi_0 + \mathrm{RM} \cdot \lambda^2, \quad (2)$$

where ψ_0 denotes the unaffected intrinsic EVPA of the source. The accuracy of the least-squares fit of the EVPA–λ^2 dependence can be evaluated if observations are made in wide bandwidth. In the Faraday-thick regime, when other Faraday effects (e.g., Burn 1966; Sokoloff et al. 1998) take place, RM is a function of λ and EVPA is not a linear function of λ^2. Observations with wide enough bandwidth can distinguish Faraday-thin from Faraday-thick cases.

3.2 Rotation Measure synthesis

The RM synthesis technique (Burn 1966; Brentjens & de Bruyn 2005) is based on Fourier transformation of polarization signal in λ^2 space and reconstruction of the Faraday RM spectrum $F(\phi)$ or the Faraday dispersion function. The technique separates the different

periodic behaviors in λ^2 and searches for multiple Faraday components.

The Faraday dispersion function at a particular depth is given by

$$F(\phi) = K \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} pIe^{2i\psi}e^{-2i\phi\lambda^2}d\lambda^2, \quad (3)$$

where p is the fractional linear polarization, I – total flux density, and K is a normalization factor. Reconstruction of the RM spectrum is conducted over all possible values of Faraday depths ϕ.

Rotation Measure synthesis uses full polarized information of the source to recover properties of the Faraday-rotating medium. The technique can not distinguish between multiple Faraday screens located on the same line of sight, when only the integrated value is available. Meanwhile emitting components with different sight lines through varying Faraday screens can be distinguished. The technique can distinguish cases when radio emission traverses different Faraday screens or a mix of Faraday-rotating medium with emitting regions on its way to an observer. The emission from the PSR J1745−2900 may include non pulsed, diffuse emission, originating in ionized gas orbiting around Sgr A*, as suggested by Yusef-Zadeh et al. (2015), or may come from other sources in the direction of the pulsar. Thus, application of the RM synthesis is justified in searching for multiple Faraday components.

The resolution in Faraday depth space (or ability to separate components) is defined as the width of the λ^2-spacing function at half maximum (Brentjens & de Bruyn 2005), where the λ^2-spacing function $R(\phi)$ is given by

$$R(\phi) = K \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2i\phi\lambda^2}d\lambda^2. \quad (4)$$

It is also known as RM transfer function – an equivalent to the dirty beam in aperture synthesis. Meanwhile, the uncertainty of the reconstructed Faraday RM component is defined as the FWHM of the sampling function, divided by twice the S/N (Feain et al. 2009; Law et al. 2011). The maximum Faraday depth to which RM synthesis is sensitive is determined by the channel width, $\delta\lambda^2$: $\phi_{\rm max} \approx \sqrt{3}/\delta\lambda^2$. It allows measuring $\sim 2 \times 10^7$ rad m^{-2} with 34 MHz channel width.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Flux density and linear polarization

The 40–48 GHz continuum image of the region near Sgr A* with PSR J1745−2900 included is given in Fig. 1. Since Sgr A* is hundreds of times brighter than the pulsar, Sgr A* fluctuations cause artifacts on the map at the position of PSR J1745−2900. Thus, only integrated total flux density at 40 GHz was estimated and estimated as 2.3 ± 0.3 mJy. Meanwhile, linearly polarized emission from the source has comparable values. The variation of linearly polarized flux density with frequency is shown in Fig. 2 and is observed at an average level of about 1.5 ± 0.2 mJy.



Figure 4. RM transfer function given in arbitrary units (*top*). Faraday RM spectrum (*bottom*) for PSR J1745−2900 for a spectral resolution of 34 MHz. Vertical solid lines indicate identified Faraday components, while the horizontal solid line represents the 1σ rms noise level.

4.2 Faraday rotation measure

The behavior of EVPA with wavelength squared is shown in Fig. 3 with the solid line indicating the weighted linear fit. The details of the fit are given in Table 1.

In comparison to EVPA linear fitting, the higher spectral resolution of 34 MHz for the RM synthesis was used in order to increase the value of maximum detectable rotation measure. The RM synthesis method (Fig. 4) supports the results of linear fit (Table 1), though with less accuracy. This is the result of the relatively small fractional bandwidth used in our analysis. The amplitude of the peak in the Faraday dispersion function is 1.54 ± 0.06 mJy. The FWHM of the sampling function is 2.45×10^5 rad m^{-2}, thus our observations are not sensitive to components with RMs differing from the main peak by less than this value.

Brentjens & de Bruyn (2005); Feain et al. (2009); Law et al. (2011); Macquart et al. (2012) showed that the RM synthesis technique is applicable to data with S/N ≥ 7. The signal is derived as the amplitude of an identified component in the Faraday RM spectrum. The noise, σ, is taken to be average rms noise per channel divided by the square root of the number of channels used. The S/N for the detected RM component is 27.3.

The measured intrinsic polarization position angle of the pulsar, ψ_0, is of 16 ± 9 degrees.

Table 1. Rotation Measure results. The reduced χ^2 of the fit, amplitude, S, its rms noise, σ, and S/N are given for the strongest component in Faraday RM spectrum.

	EVPA–λ^2 fit	RM Synthesis
RM (rad m^{-2})	-67000 ± 3000	-67000 ± 12000
χ^2_{red}	2.28	...
S (mJy)	...	1.535
σ (mJy)	...	0.056
S/N	...	27.3

No other components, except the main one, were detected with the amplitude higher than 3σ in range of RMs $\pm 2 \times 10^7$ rad m^{-2}.

We put constrains on the value of gradient in the RM, $\triangle$RM, across the Faraday screen (Gardner & Whiteoak 1966; Tribble 1991). Assuming an EVPA deviation of about 10° from the linear slope as a source of beam depolarization, the maximum value of the gradient in Faraday screen can be estimated using the following equation

$$\triangle \mathrm{RM} = \frac{\triangle \psi}{\lambda^2 \cdot \mathrm{beam}}, \quad (5)$$

with the resultant $\triangle$RM less than about 3800 rad m^{-2}.

5 DISCUSSION

We measured PSR J1745−2900's rotation measure of $-(67000 \pm 3000)$ rad m^{-2} using the least-squares fit and $-(67000 \pm 12000)$ rad m^{-2} using the RM synthesis at 40–48 GHz. The resulting accuracy comes from continuum, rather than pulsed measurements. Meanwhile our estimates are in a good agreement with other measurements at wavelengths of about and below 2 cm: $-(67000 \pm 500)$ rad m^{-2} made by Shannon & Johnston (2013) on 1 May 2013 at 16–18 GHz and $-(66960 \pm 50)$ rad m^{-2} made by Eatough et al. (2013a) on 28 April 2013 at 2.5–8.7 GHz. The time separation among these and ours observations is a year, and the relatively stable RMs might indicate a steady nature of the Faraday-rotating medium. Though variability on time-scales of months-to-years or within estimated errors (± 3269 rad m^{-2}) is possible. The result concerning the steady nature of the Faraday-rotating medium is supported by the observations of Marrone et al. (2006), who showed Stokes Q and U variations of Sgr A* on the hour scale, meanwhile the value of RM remains constant with time, and all these changes happen due to intrinsic Sgr A* activity.

The high amplitude of the RM component, almost reaching the level of the linearly polarized flux density, taken together with the absence of other RM components indicates that there is only one external Faraday screen, which is expected for pulsed emission. We put an upper limit on the amplitude of a second possible component in the PSR J1745−2900 RM spectrum of 0.31 mJy with RMs higher than 2.5×10^5 rad m^{-2}, which may originate in the unpulsed emission, probed by our continuum observations. The other imaging studies of pulsars have continuum emission, which is consistent with the pulsed, point-like emission (e.g., Kaplan et al. 1998; Kouwenhoven 2000). The data of Eatough et al. (2013a) at 8 GHz are sensitive to RMs up to 10^7 rad m^{-2}; they are also well described by a single RM component.

Han et al. (2006) have reported results of polarization properties of 223 pulsars. Among them the PSR J1324−6146 shows the highest value of RM = −1546 rad m^{-2} with the DM = 828 pc cm^{-3} while PSR J1705−4108 has the highest DM = 1077 pc cm^{-3} and RM = 916 rad m^{-2}. Dispersion measures of PSR J1705−4108 and PSR J1745−2900 differ by a factor of 1.5 only, whereas rotation measure differ by a factor of ∼81. While the dispersion measure gives the column electron density on the line of sight from the observer to the source, the rotation measure is also a function of the component of the magnetic field parallel to the line of sight. This indicates the existence of strong magnetic fields in the surrounding medium of PSR J1745−2900 (see also discussions by Eatough et al. 2013a). High RM values of the same sign for PSR J1745−2900 and Sgr A* suggest close location of the pulsar to the GC.

The intrinsic electric vector position angle of the pulsar, ψ_0 is estimated to be 16±8 deg. It coincides with the position angle of the pulsar's transverse velocity, which is measured to be 22 ± 2 deg (Bower et al. 2015). The orientation of the inferred magnetic field is consistent with a picture in which the magnetar is moving through the dense ionized medium of Sgr A West which orbits Sgr A*. The magnetar's radio emission is in part produced by synchrotron emission from electrons accelerated in a reverse shock arising from this interaction (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2015). Considering the emission measure of 2×10^7 cm^{-6}pc (Yusef-Zadeh et al. (2015) and references therein) and dispersion measure of 1778 cm^{-3}pc, we obtain $n_e \sim 1.1 \times 10^4$ cm^{-3} and the size of the region with the ionized medium of 0.16 pc. It implies the strength of magnetic field $\geq 50\mu$G. Thus, unlike the hot X-ray emitting gas with strong magnetic field ($\geq$ 2 mG) within several parsecs of Sgr A*, proposed by Eatough et al. (2013a), we attribute the observed pulsar's RM to the warm ionized medium with lower magnetic field, located within a few tenths of parsecs from Sgr A*.

So far, RMs of $10^5 - 10^7$ rad m^{-2} are detected only in the compact regions of active galactic nuclei (e.g., Agudo et al. 2014; Plambeck et al. 2014; Martí-Vidal et al. 2015). Such high RMs may originate in close proximity to the massive black holes powering magnetized relativistic outflows, which favors the idea that the Faraday-rotating screen of PSR J1745−2900 is in the region near Sgr A*. Meanwhile, calculations of Li et al. (2015) show that the main contribution to the Galactic center's RM is from accretion flow rather than a jet, if it exists. The future observations will shed light on this problem.

6 SUMMARY

We observed recently discovered pulsar J1745−2900 with the VLA in A configuration simultaneously over the frequency range 40–48 GHz. The traditional λ^2 fitting as well as Faraday RM synthesis were used to determine the pulsar's rotation measure. The estimated value of $(-67 \pm 3) \times 10^3$ rad m^{-2} agrees with results other authors previously derived at frequencies below 18 GHz. We detected only one Faraday component in the range of $\pm 2 \times 10^7$ rad m^{-2} with amplitude, approaching 100 % of the linearly polarized flux density. We

measured total and linearly polarized flux densities up to 2.3 ± 0.3 mJy beam^{-1} and 1.5 ± 0.2 mJy beam^{-1} levels with the VLA synthesized beam size of 73×46 mas, and the beam position angle of $2\overset{\circ}{.}4$. These high frequency results support the external nature of an optically thin Faraday screen. Taken together with previous measurements, they indicate a steady nature of the Faraday-rotating medium. We support the view that the Faraday screen is located close to the Galactic center while PSR J1745−2900 is in the vicinity of Sgr A* and attribute the observed puslar's RM to the warm ionized medium with the magnetic field of 50μG, located within a few tenths of parsecs from the GC.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors would like to thank anonymous referee for useful comments and corrections, which helped us to improve the paper. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. EVK was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for basic Research (project 14-02-31789 mol_a).
Facilities: VLA.

REFERENCES

Agudo I., Thum C., Gómez J. L., Wiesemeyer H., 2014, A&A, 566, A59
Bower G. C., Wright M. C. H., Falcke H., Backer D. C., 2003, ApJ, 588, 331
Bower G. C., et al., 2014, ApJ, 780, L2
Bower G. C., et al., 2015, ApJ, 798, 120
Brentjens M. A., de Bruyn A. G., 2005, A&A, 441, 1217
Brown J. C., Haverkorn M., Gaensler B. M., Taylor A. R., Bizunok N. S., McClure-Griffiths N. M., Dickey J. M., Green A. J., 2007, ApJ, 663, 258
Burn B. J., 1966, MNRAS, 133, 67
Buttu M., et al., 2013, The Astronomer's Telegram, 5053, 1
Camilo F., Ransom S. M., Chatterjee S., Johnston S., Demorest P., 2012, ApJ, 746, 63
Cordes J. M., Lazio T. J. W., 2002, preprint, (arXiv:astro-ph/0207156)
Cotton W. D., 2008, PASP, 120, 439
Crocker R. M., Jones D. I., Melia F., Ott J., Protheroe R. J., 2010, Nature, 463, 65
Eatough R. P., et al., 2013a, Nature, 501, 391
Eatough R., et al., 2013b, The Astronomer's Telegram, 5040, 1
Feain I. J., et al., 2009, ApJ, 707, 114
Gardner F. F., Whiteoak J. B., 1966, ARA&A, 4, 245
Ghez A. M., et al., 2008, ApJ, 689, 1044
Gillessen S., Eisenhauer F., Trippe S., Alexander T., Genzel R., Martins F., Ott T., 2009, ApJ, 692, 1075
Gotthelf E. V., et al., 2013, The Astronomer's Telegram, 5046, 1
Han J. L., Manchester R. N., Lyne A. G., Qiao G. J., van Straten W., 2006, ApJ, 642, 868
Kaplan D. L., Condon J. J., Arzoumanian Z., Cordes J. M., 1998, ApJS, 119, 75
Kennea J. A., et al., 2013, ApJ, 770, L24
Kouwenhoven M. L. A., 2000, A&AS, 145, 243
Law C. J., et al., 2011, ApJ, 728, 57
Lee K. J., et al., 2013, The Astronomer's Telegram, 5064, 1
Li Y.-P., Yuan F., Wang Q. D., 2015, ApJ, 798, 22
Macquart J.-P., Bower G. C., Wright M. C. H., Backer D. C., Falcke H., 2006, ApJ, 646, L111
Macquart J.-P., Ekers R. D., Feain I., Johnston-Hollitt M., 2012, ApJ, 750, 139
Marrone D. P., Moran J. M., Zhao J.-H., Rao R., 2006, Journal of Physics Conference Series, 54, 354
Martí-Vidal I., Muller S., Vlemmings W., Horellou C., Aalto S., 2015, Science, 348, 311
Mori K., et al., 2013, ApJ, 770, L23
Noutsos A., 2012, Space Sci. Rev., 166, 307
O'Brien J. T., et al., 2008, MNRAS, 388, L1
Plambeck R. L., et al., 2014, ApJ, 797, 66
Pushkarev A. B., Kovalev Y. Y., 2015, MNRAS, 452, 4274
Rea N., et al., 2013, ApJ, 775, L34
Reid M. J., Brunthaler A., 2004, ApJ, 616, 872
Shannon R. M., Johnston S., 2013, MNRAS, 435, L29
Sokoloff D. D., Bykov A. A., Shukurov A., Berkhuijsen E. M., Beck R., Poezd A. D., 1998, MNRAS, 299, 189
Spitler L. G., et al., 2014, ApJ, 780, L3
Torne P., et al., 2015, MNRAS, 451, L50
Tribble P. C., 1991, MNRAS, 250, 726
Wucknitz O., 2014, in Proceedings of the 12th European VLBI Network Symposium and Users Meeting (EVN 2014), PoS,. p. 66
Yusef-Zadeh F., Wardle M., Parastaran P., 1997, ApJ, 475, L119
Yusef-Zadeh F., et al., 2013, ApJ, 762, 33
Yusef-Zadeh F., Roberts D., Heinke C., Cotton W., Haggard D., Wardle M., Royster M., 2014, The Astronomer's Telegram, 6041, 1
Yusef-Zadeh F., Diesing R., Wardle M., Sjouwerman L. O., Royster M., Cotton W. D., Roberts D., Heinke C., 2015, ApJ, 811, L35

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.